

# CMS Draft Analysis Note

*The content of this note is intended for CMS internal use and distribution only*

2024/09/15

Archive Hash: a9d646e-D

Archive Date: 2024/09/14

## Search for new physics in dileptonically decaying top quark pairs produced with a photon in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using effective field theory in Run II

Aashwin Basnet<sup>2</sup>, Kenneth Bloom<sup>3</sup>, Florencia Canelli<sup>4</sup>, Sergio Sanchez Cruz<sup>6</sup>, Jose Enrique Palencia Cortezon<sup>5</sup>, Juan Rodrigo Gonzalez Fernandez<sup>5</sup>, Andrea Trapote Fernandez<sup>5</sup>, Reza Goldouzian<sup>1</sup>, Barbara Alvarez Gonzalez<sup>5</sup>, Michael Hildreth<sup>1</sup>, Kevin Lannon<sup>1</sup>, John Lawrence<sup>1</sup>, Sascha Pascal Liechti<sup>4</sup>, Christopher Edward Mcgrady<sup>1</sup>, Kelci Mohrman<sup>1</sup>, Hannah Nelson<sup>1</sup>, Yuyi Wan<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Wightman<sup>3</sup>, Brian Winer<sup>2</sup>, Furong Yan<sup>3</sup>, Brent R. Yates<sup>2</sup>, Henry Yockey<sup>1</sup>, and Mateusz Zarucki<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Notre Dame

<sup>2</sup> The Ohio State University

<sup>3</sup> University of Nebraska-Lincoln

<sup>4</sup> University of Zurich

<sup>5</sup> University of Oviedo

<sup>6</sup> CERN

## Abstract

We present a search for new physics using the framework of effective field thoery (EFT) in events containing a pair of top quarks and a photon, where the both top quark decay via the leptonic channels ( $e$  and  $\mu$ ). The Run 2 data set is used, consisting of  $137\text{ fb}^{-1}$  of data collected by the CMS experiment. Private EFT simulation samples are genearted using the dim6top model for 11 Wilson coefficeints affecting the  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  process:  $c_{Qq}^{11}, c_{Qq}^{31}, c_{Qq}^{18}, c_{Qq}^{38}, c_{tq}^1, c_{tq}^8, c_{\varphi Q}^-, c_{\varphi t}, c_{tG}, c_{tW}$ , and  $c_{tZ}$ . All 11 coefficients are fit both simultenously and individually.

This box is only visible in draft mode. Please make sure the values below make sense.

PDFAuthor: Andrea Trapote Fernandez, Andrew Wightman, Aashwin Basnet, Barbara Alvarez Gonzalez, Brent R. Yates, Brian Winer, Christopher Edward McGrady, Florencia Canelli, Furong Yan, Hannah Nelson, Henry Yockey, John Lawrence, Jose Enrique Palencia Cortezon, Juan Gonzalez Fernandez, Kenneth Bloom, Kevin Patrick Lannon, Kelci Mohrman, Mike Hildreth, Mateusz Zarucki, Reza Goldouzian, Sascha Liechti, Sergio Sanchez Cruz, Yuyi Wan

PDFTitle: Search for new physics in top quark pair production with a photon in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV using effective field theory in Run II

PDFSubject: CMS

PDFKeywords: CMS, physics, top, EFT

Please also verify that the abstract does not use any user defined symbols

DRAFT

## 1 Introduction

The standard model effective field theory (SMEFT) provides a robust framework for describing physics beyond the SM, specifically when the on-shell effects are beyond the reach of the LHC, and the heavy mass propagators may be integrated out. The SMEFT model respects the observed symmetries of the SM, and cast new physics in terms of combinations of SM fields. A general SMEFT Lagrangian may be parameterized as perturbative expansion, where the SM is the lowest order term:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SMEFT}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \sum_{d,i} \frac{c_i^d}{\Lambda^{d-4}} \mathcal{O}_i^d, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}}$  is the SM Lagrangian,  $c^d$  are the Wilson coefficients (WCs) of dimension  $d$  controlling the strength of the EFT effects,  $\Lambda$  is the energy scale (set to 1 TeV for sample generation), suppressed by increasing powers of  $d - 4$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_i^d$  are the SM fields of dimension  $d$ . Additionally, we choose to respect the lepton flavor (LF) and baryon number (BN) symmetries observed in nature. In SMEFT, all odd-numbered dimensions violate LF and/or BN, so the lowest order this analysis is sensitive to is dimension six. We use the dim6top model [1] to generate events involving  $t\bar{t}$  pairs with exactly one photon.

## 2 Data and MC samples

### 2.1 Data samples

The analysis uses the full Run 2 dataset collected by the CMS collaboration, with all events marked in the Golden JSON file 1. The triggers for each year are listed in Tables 2, 3, and 4.

Year	Golden JSON	Int. Lumi ( $\text{fb}^{-1}$ )
2016	Cert_271036-284044_13TeV_Legacy2016_Collisions16_JSON	36.33
2017	Cert_294927-306462_13TeV_UL2017_Collisions17_GoldenJSON	41.48
2018	Cert_314472-325175_13TeV_Legacy2018_Collisions18_JSON	59.83

Table 1: JSON files with certified luminosity blocks used for each data-taking year.

### 2.2 MC signal samples

Signal samples are generated at the matrix-element (ME) level at leading order (LO) using the MADGRAPH event generator with the dim6top UFO model [2] to model the EFT effects. Events are generated with up to one extra parton at the ME level. The decay of the top quarks, additional jets, and ME parton showering and hadronization are modeled using the PYTHIA generator [3]. The 11 WCs in Table 5 are found to change the  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  cross section by any measurable amount with the absolute value of the WC below 100.

TODO: update with  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  samples

### 2.3 MC background samples

All background samples are generated only at the SM. The  $t\bar{t}$ , single-t,  $Z\gamma$ , and di-vector boson samples are generated at next-to-leading order (NLO) using POWHEG [4–9] at the ME level. The Drell-Yan (DY) samples are generated at LO using MADGRAPH. All samples use PYTHIA to model the parton shower and hadronization steps.

2016 Triggers	
<b>SingleMuon</b>	
IsoMu24	
IsoTkMu24	
IsoMu22_eta2p1	
IsoTkMu22_eta2p1	
IsoMu22	
IsoTkMu22	
IsoMu27	
<b>SingleElectron</b>	
Ele27_WPTight_Gsf	
Ele25_eta2p1_WPTight_Gsf	
Ele27_eta2p1_WP Loose_Gsf	
<b>DoubleMuon</b>	
Mu17_TrkIsoVVL_Mu8_TrkIsoVVL_DZ	
Mu17_TrkIsoVVL_Mu8_TrkIsoVVL	
Mu17_TrkIsoVVL_TkMu8_TrkIsoVVL	
Mu17_TrkIsoVVL_TkMu8_TrkIsoVVL_DZ	
TripleMu_12_10_5	
<b>DoubleEG</b>	
Ele23_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL	
Ele23_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ	
Ele16_Ele12_Ele8_CaloIdL_TrackIdL	
<b>MuonEG</b>	
Mu23_TrkIsoVVL_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL	
Mu23_TrkIsoVVL_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ	
Mu12_TrkIsoVVL_Ele23_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ	
Mu8_DiEle12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL	
Mu8_TrkIsoVVL_Ele23_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL	
Mu8_TrkIsoVVL_Ele23_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ	
Mu23_TrkIsoVVL_Ele8_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL	
Mu23_TrkIsoVVL_Ele8_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ	
DiMu9_Ele9_CaloIdL_TrackIdL	

Table 2: Triggers used to record the 2016 data for this analysis.

- 32 A noticeable discrepancy is observed in the CR enriched in  $Z\gamma$ . There are two causes for this.  
 33 The first is the photon isolation cone ( $R_{0\gamma}$ ) which is set to 0.05. This results in a large  
 34 number of soft and collinear photons produced near jets. These soft and collinear photons can  
 35 lead to divergences in the cross section computation, resulting in an incorrect normalization  
 36 for  $Z\gamma$ . The second is a clear shape in the jet multiplicity. The  $Z\gamma$  is generated at NLO, so the  
 37 zero and one parton events are computed in POWHEG. All higher jet multiplicities are modeled  
 38 purely in PYTHIA, which is known to not match the data as well. To correct both issues, we  
 39 include a  $Z\gamma$  CR in the final fit which is fully correlated with the  $Z\gamma$  in the SR. This allows us to  
 40 correct for the data-MC disagreement in an orthogonal channel, and account for correlations  
 41 among systematic uncertainties when applying said corrections.
- 42 TODO: add missing samples that are being skimmed

2017 Triggers
SingleMuon
IsoMu24
IsoMu27
SingleElectron
Ele32_WPTight_Gsf
Ele35_WPTight_Gsf
DoubleMuon
Mu17_TrkIsoVVL_Mu8_TrkIsoVVL_DZ
Mu17_TrkIsoVVL_Mu8_TrkIsoVVL_DZ_Mass3p8
TripleMu_12_10_5
DoubleEG
Ele23_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL
Ele23_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ
Ele16_Ele12_Ele8_CaloIdL_TrackIdL
MuonEG
Mu23_TrkIsoVVL_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL
Mu23_TrkIsoVVL_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ
Mu12_TrkIsoVVL_Ele23_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ
Mu8_TrkIsoVVL_Ele23_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ
Mu8_DiEle12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL
Mu8_DiEle12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_DZ
DiMu9_Ele9_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_DZ

Table 3: Triggers used to record the 2017 data for this analysis.

## 43 2.4 Overlap removal

44 Following the approach taken in [10], we apply an overlap removal between  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  and  $t\bar{t}$  samples. The radiation of a high  $p_T$  photon is also possible in  $t\bar{t}$ , and this results in an overlapping  
45 phase space between the two samples. An overlap removal procedure is applied on  $t\bar{t}$  at generator level that vetoes events from the sample with photons that have  $p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $|\eta| < 5$ ,  
46 and are isolated from other particles (except for other photons and neutrinos) with  $p_T > 5 \text{ GeV}$   
47 within  $\Delta R < 0.1$  cone. Furthermore, the photons are required to have non-hadronic particles in their parentage history. In the case of  $t\bar{t}\gamma$ , if there are events with no such photons,  
48 then such events are vetoed from the sample. A similar overlap removal procedure is also ap-  
49 plied between  $Z\gamma$  and DY, where the kinematic requirements on the photons are changed to be  
50  $p_T > 15 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $|\eta| < 2.6$ , and isolation cone of  $\Delta R < 0.05$ . Events with the photons meeting the  
51 criteria are vetoed from DY, and conversely, events with no such photons are discarded from  
52  $Z\gamma$ .

## 56 3 Object selection

## 57 4 Event selection

58 The analysis targets events enriched in  $t\bar{t}\gamma$ . To achieve this, we require exactly two oppositely  
59 charged final-state leptons, with a (sub)leading  $p_T$  of 25(15) GeV. The two leptons can be either  
60 same-flavored ( $e\mu, \mu\mu$ ) or opposite-flavored ( $e\mu$ ). We also require that the two leptons have a  
61 minimum invariant mass  $m(\ell\ell)$  of 20 GeV, which suppresses all event contributions from multi-  
62 jet QCD processes. Furthermore, in the same-flavored channel, two other selection criteria are

2018 Triggers
SingleMuon
IsoMu24
IsoMu27
EGamma
Ele32_WPTight_Gsf
Ele35_WPTight_Gsf
Ele23_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL
Ele23_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ
Ele16_Ele12_Ele8_CaloIdL_TrackIdL
DoubleMuon
Mu17_TrkIsoVVL_Mu8_TrkIsoVVL_DZ
Mu17_TrkIsoVVL_Mu8_TrkIsoVVL_DZ_Mass3p8
TripleMu_12_10_5
MuonEG
Mu23_TrkIsoVVL_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL
Mu23_TrkIsoVVL_Ele12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ
Mu12_TrkIsoVVL_Ele23_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ
Mu8_TrkIsoVVL_Ele23_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_IsoVL_DZ
Mu8_DiEle12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL
Mu8_DiEle12_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_DZ
DiMu9_Ele9_CaloIdL_TrackIdL_DZ

Table 4: Triggers used to record the 2018 data for this analysis.

Operator category	WCs	WC variable names
Two heavy quarks	$c_{\phi Q}^-, c_{\phi t}, c_{tW}, c_{tZ}, c_{tG}$	$c_{\phi QM}, c_{\phi t}, c_{tW}, c_{tZ}, c_{tG}$
Two light quarks two heavy quarks	$c_{Qq}^{31}, c_{Qq}^{38}, c_{Qq}^{11}, c_{Qq}^{18}, c_{tq}^1, c_{tq}^8$	$c_{Qq13}, c_{Qq83}, c_{Qq11}, c_{Qq81}, c_{tq1}, c_{tq8}$

Table 5: List of WCs included in this analysis. The definitions of the WCs and the definitions of the corresponding operators can be found in Table 1 of Ref. [2]. Note that in order to allow MADGRAPH5\_aMC@NLO to properly handle the emission of gluons from the vertices involving the  $c_{tG}$  WC, an extra factor of the strong coupling is applied to the  $c_{tG}$  coefficients.

imposed. First, we demand that the events have  $m(\ell\ell)$  outside of 15 GeV of Z mass in order to reject DY contribution. Second, the events must have  $m(\ell\ell\gamma)$  outside of 15 GeV of Z mass, which aids in suppression of  $Z\gamma$  contribution in the signal region. We require exactly one photon with  $p_T > 20$  GeV, which helps remove the  $t\bar{t}$  background where PYTHIA generated a soft photon in the shower step. The photon must pass the medium cutBased ID. We also require at least one jet, and at least one b-tagged jets. Jets are tagged as originated from a b quark using the DEEPJET algorithm [11, 12]. We use the fixed working-point tagger with the medium ID, and the “mujets” scale factors. Table 11 gives a breakdown of the event selection. Each event weight is parameterized by

$$w(c_i) = s_0 + \sum_j^N s_{1j} \frac{c_j}{\Lambda^2} + \sum_j^N s_{2j} \frac{c_j^2}{\Lambda^4} + \sum_{j \neq k}^N s_{3jk} \frac{c_j}{\Lambda^2} \frac{c_k}{\Lambda^2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $s_0, s_1, s_2$ , and  $s_3$  are the structure contents for the SM, SM-EFT interference, pure EFT, and EFT interference terms respectively.

Process	Xsec (pb)	Events	Location
ttH	0.2151	8.0M	/store/user/kmohrman/FullProduction/FullR2/UL16/Round1/Batch1/naoOnly_step/v2/nAOD.step.tHjetAll22WCsStartPiCheckdlim6TopMay20GST.run0
ttlv	0.2353	9.1M	/store/user/kmohrman/FullProduction/FullR2/UL16/Round1/Batch1/naoOnly_step/v2/nAOD.step.tlNujetAll22WCsStartPiCheckdlim6TopMay20GST.run0
ttll'	0.281	8.1M	/store/user/kmohrman/FullProduction/FullR2/UL16/Round1/Batch1/naoOnly_step/v2/nAOD.step.tllNujetNoHiggs.all22WCsStartPiCheckdlim6TopMay20GST.run0
ttlg	0.0758	7.5M	/store/user/kmohrman/FullProduction/FullR2/UL16/Round1/Batch1/naoOnly_step/v2/nAOD.step.lll4fNoSchanWNorHiggs0.all22WCsStartPiCheckdlim6TopMay20GST.run0
tH0	0.07096	7.5M	/store/user/kmohrman/FullProduction/FullR2/UL16/Round1/Batch1/naoOnly_step.H0all22WCsStartPiCheckdlim6TopMay20GST.run0
ttff	0.0120	7.5M	/store/user/kmohrman/FullProduction/FullR2/UL16/Round1/Batch1/naoOnly_step/v2/nAOD.step.tttFourtopsMay3v1.run0
tt $\gamma$	2.2471	15M (update)	/store/user/apiccone/FullProduction/FullR2/UL16/Round1/Batch1/nAOD.step.v1patch.GCRC/nAOD.step.ttgamma_0001.run0

Table 6: Privately produced UL16 signal samples used in this analysis, located at the Notre Dame T3.

UL16APV Background Samples	Xsec (pb)
/TTTo2L2Nu_TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	87.31
/TTToSemiLeptonic_TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	364.351
/TTZToLL_M-1-to10_TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	0.082
/TWZToLL_M-1-to10_TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	0.003004
/TWZToLL_lept_Whad_5f_DR_TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	0.003004
/TWZToLL_lept_5f_DR_TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	0.0015
/WWTo2L2Nu_TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	12.178
/WWW_4F_TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-[v1,ext1-v1]/NANOAOODSIM	0.2086
/WWZ_4F_TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-[v1,ext1-v1]/NANOAOODSIM	0.1651
/WLLJJ_WToLNu_EWK_TuneCP5_13TeV_madgraph-madspin_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v2/NANOAOODSIM	5.2843
/WZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	0.05565
/ZZTo4L_TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	1.256
/GluGluToContinToZZTo2e2mu_TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v2/NANOAOODSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZZTo2e2nu_TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v3/NANOAOODSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZZTo2e2tau_TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v2/NANOAOODSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZZTo2mu2tau_TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v2/NANOAOODSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZZTo4tau_TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v2/NANOAOODSIM	0.00159
/GluGluToContinToZZTo4mu_TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v2/NANOAOODSIM	0.00159
/GluGluToContinToZZTo4tau_TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	0.00159
/ZZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-[v1,ext1-v1]/NANOAOODSIM	55.78
/ZGToLG_01_5f_TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo_FXF-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	16.52
/ZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	47.13
/WW_TuneCP5_13TeV-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	116.1
/TTZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraph-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	0.001573
/TTWZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraph-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	0.002919
/TTWW_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraph-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	0.0115
/WCFt0Nu_G_01_5f_PDFWeights_TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo_FXF-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	191
/DYJetsToLL_M-10to50_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraphMLM_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	18610.0
/DYJetsToLL_M-50_TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg_FXF-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	6025.2
/ST_s-channel_4f_leptonDecays_TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	3.68
/ST_t-channel_top_4f_InclusiveDecays_TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-madspin_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	136.02
/ST_t-channel_antitop_4f_InclusiveDecays_TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-madspin_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	80.95
/ST_tW_antitop_5f_inclusiveDecays_TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	35.85
/TTJets_TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo_FXF-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	35.85
/WJets_TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo_FXF-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v2/NANOAOODSIM	831.76
/WJets_TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo_FXF-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPVv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v1/NANOAOODSIM	61526.7

Table 7: List of UL16APV background samples used in this analysis (CMSSW\_10\_6\_26). In the first section of the table are listed the samples of the processes for which we use the simulation to extract the final yields and shapes, in the second section the samples of the processes we will estimate from data. The “TTJets\*” sample was used to verify the  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  overlap removal.

## 5 Background estimation

### 5.1 Zgamma background

- Z $\gamma$  forms the most important prompt photon background contribution to the SR
- How do we create a Z $\gamma$  enriched region in the analysis?
- Talk about njet shape not being well modeled for Z $\gamma$  in this CR
- We then follow the approach taken by Top-23-002 where we feed this CR to the final fitting
- Several kinematic distributions relevant to this CR

### 5.2 Non-prompt photon background

### 5.3 Other backgrounds

## 6 Statistical methods

We perform statistical inference to find the maximum likelihood estimate for all 11 WCs using the combine tool [13]. Each bin is treated as an independent Poisson measurement, where the

UL16 Background Samples	Xsec (pb)
/TTTo2LNu.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	87.31
/TTToSemiLeptonic.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	364.351
/TTZToLL_M-1to10.TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.082
/TWZToLL_thad.Wlept_5f_DR.TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.003004
/TWZToLL_tlept.Whad_5f_DR.TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.003004
/TWZToLL_tlept.Wlept_5f_DR.TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.0015
/WWTo2LNu.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	12.178
/WW_4F.TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-[v1,ext1-v1]/NANOAOEDSIM	0.2086
/WWZ_4F.TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-[v1,ext1-v1]/NANOAOEDSIM	0.1651
/WLLJJ_WToLNU_EWK.TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraph-madspin-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	5.2843
/WZTo3LNu_mllmin4p0.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v2	5.2843
/WLJJ_WToLNu_EWK.TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraph-madspin-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODAPV9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_preVFP_v11-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	0.2353
/WZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-[v1,ext1-v1]/NANOAOEDSIM	0.05565
/ZZTo4L.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	1.256
/GluGluToContin_ToZZZ_To2e2mu.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContin_ToZZZ_To2e2nu.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContin_ToZZZ_To2e2tau.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContin_ToZZZ_To2e2tau.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContin_ToZZZ_To4e.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00159
/GluGluToContin_ToZZZ_To4tau.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00159
/GluGluToContin_ToZZZ_To4tau4u.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-[v1,ext1-v1]/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00159
/ZZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.01398
/ZGToLG_01J_5f.PDFWeights.TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnloFXFX-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	55.78
/ZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	16.52
/WZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	47.13
/WW_TuneCP5_13TeV-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	116.1
/TTZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraph-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.001573
/TTWZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraph-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.002919
/TTWW_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraph-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.0115
/WGToLNuG_01J_5f.PDFWeights.TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnloFXFX-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	191
/DYjetsToLL_M-10to50.TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraphMLM-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	18610.0
/DYjetsToLL_M-50..TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnloFXFX-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	6025.2
/ST_s-channel_4f_leptonDecays.TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	3.68
/ST_t-channel_top_4f_InclusiveDecays.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-madspin-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	136.02
/ST_t-channel_antitop_4f_InclusiveDecays.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-madspin-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	80.95
/ST_W_antitop_5f_InclusiveDecays.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	35.85
/ST_W_top_5f_InclusiveDecays.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	35.85
/TTJets_TuneCP5_13TeV-amicatnloFXFX-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	831.76
/WJetsToLNu_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraphMLM-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAODv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	61526.7

Table 8: List of UL16 background samples used in this analysis (CMSSW\_10\_6\_26). In the first section of the table are listed the samples of the processes for which we use the simulation to extract the final yields and shapes, in the second section the samples of the processes we will estimate from data. The “TTJets\*” sample was used to verify the  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  overlap removal.

mean value is given by the sum of all weights (Eq. 2) in a given bin. A profiled likelihood fit is performed using two scenarios: scanning over a single WC while treating the other 10 WCs as additional, unconstrained nuisance parameters (NPs); or scanning over a single WC and fixing the other 10 to their SM value of 0. All NPs are profiled in both cases. While Wilks’ theorem cannot guarantee proper coverage when EFT effects are parametrized with quadratic polynomials [14], we still use the standard 68, 95, and 99.7% levels defined as when twice the negative log-likelihood crosses 1, 4, and 9 respectively.

## 7 Systematic uncertainties

A number of experimental and theoretical systematic uncertainties are considered in the analysis. Unless otherwise stated, each term is correlated among all processes and years. Terms labeled as “rate” only affect the total normalization, and are constrained with a  $\ln N$  prior. Terms labeled as “rate + shape” affect both the total normalization and the shape of the fitted distribution. These terms are provided as up/down templates in combine, and is constrained by a Gaussian prior.

TODO: add all the standard sysys.

**Photon identification and efficiency:** Rate + shape. The SFs for photon ID and efficiency (provided by the EGM POG) are shifted up/down by their total uncertainty to define the  $\pm 1\sigma$  templates.

**Pixel veto:** Rate + shape. An additional map for the pixel seed veto is provided by the EGM

UL17 Background Samples	Xsec (pb)
/TTTo2L2Nu.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	87.31
/TTToSemileptonic_TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	364.351
/TTZToLL_M-1to10.TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	0.082
/TWZToLL_thad_WlepT5f_DR.TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	0.003004
/TWZToLL_tlept_Whad5f_DR.TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	0.003004
/TWZToLL_tlept_WlepT5f_DR.TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	0.0015
/WWTo2L2Nu.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	12.178
/WW_4F.TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-[v1,ext1-v2]/NANOAODSIM	0.2086
/WWZ_4F.TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	0.1651
/WZTo3LNu_mllmin4p0.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	5.2843
/WLLJJ_WToLNu_EWK.TuneCP5_13TeV_madgraph-madspin_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL16NanoAOdv9-106X_mcRun2_asymptotic_v17-v2/NANOAODSIM	0.2353
/WZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-[v1,ext1-v2]/NANOAODSIM	0.05565
/ZZTo4L.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	1.256
/GluGluToContinToZZTo2e2mu.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZZTo2e2nu.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZZTo2e2tau.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZZTo2mu2tau.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZZTo4e.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	0.00159
/GluGluToContinToZZTo4mu.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	0.00159
/GluGluToContinToZZTo4tau.TuneCP5_13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	0.00159
/ZZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	0.01398
/ZGToLG_01J_5f.TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_FXFX_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	55.78
/ZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	16.52
/WZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	47.13
/WW_TuneCP5_13TeV-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	116.1
/TTZZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraph_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	0.001573
/TTWZ_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraph_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	0.002919
/TTWW_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraph_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	0.0115
/WGToLNuG_01J_5f_PDFWeights.TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_FXFX_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	191
/DYjetsToLL_M-10to50.TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraphMLM_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	18610.0
/DYjetsToLL_M-50.TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_FXFX_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	6025.2
/ST_s-channel_4f_leptonDecays.TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	3.68
/ST_t-channel_top_4f_InclusiveDecays.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-madspin_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	136.02
/ST_t-channel_antitop_4f_InclusiveDecays.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg-madspin_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	80.95
/ST_tW_antitop_5f_InclusiveDecays.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	35.85
/ST_tW_top_5f_inclusiveDecays.TuneCP5_13TeV-powheg_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v2/NANOAODSIM	35.85
/TTJets_TuneCP5_13TeV-amcatnlo_FXFX_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	831.76
/WJetsToLNu_TuneCP5_13TeV-madgraphMLM_pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAOdv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAODSIM	61526.7

Table 9: List of UL17 background samples used in this analysis (CMSSW\_10\_6\_26). In the first section of the table are listed the samples of the processes for which we use the simulation to extract the final yields and shapes, in the second section the samples of the processes we will estimate from data. The “TTJets\*” sample was used to verify the  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  overlap removal.

POG based on the photon  $|\eta|$  and  $R9$  energy crystal cluster. This map is shifted up/down by the total uncertainty.

**Conversion-safe electron veto:** Rate + shape. An additional map for the conversion-safe electron veto is provided by the EGM POG. The  $\pm 1\sigma$  uncertainty is estimated by shifting the map up/down by the total uncertainty.

## 8 Results

## 9 Summary

## References

- [1] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra et al., “Interpreting top-quark LHC measurements in the standard-model effective field theory”, LHC TOP WG note CERN-LPCC-2018-01, 2018. arXiv:1802.07237.
- [2] D. Barducci et al., “Interpreting top-quark LHC measurements in the standard-model effective field theory”, arXiv:1802.07237.
- [3] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Z. Skands, “A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1”, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** (2008) 852, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2008.01.036, arXiv:0710.3820.

UL18 Background Samples	Xsec (pb)
/TTTo2LNu.TuneCP5.13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	87.31
/TTToSemiLeptonic.TuneCP5.13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	364.351
/TTZToLL_M_1to10.TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.082
/TWZToL_L_thad_Wlep_5f_DR.TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.003004
/TWZToLL_tlep_Whad_5f_DR.TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.003004
/TWZToLL_tlepT_Wlep_5f_DR.TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.0015
/WWTo2LNuWWTo2LNu.TuneCP5.13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	12.178
/WWW_4F.TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.2086
/WWZ_4F.TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.1651
/WZTo3LNu_mllmin4p0.TuneCP5.13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	5.2843
/WLLJJ_WtGLNu_EWK.TuneCP5.13TeV_madgraph-madspin-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	0.2353
/WZZ_TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	0.05565
/ZZTo4L.TuneCP5.13TeV_powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	1.256
/GluGluToContinToZTo2e2mu.TuneCP5.13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZTo2e2nu.TuneCP5.13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZTo2e2tau.TuneCP5.13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZTo2mu2nu.TuneCP5.13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00319
/GluGluToContinToZTo4e.TuneCP5.13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00159
/GluGluToContinToZTo4mu.TuneCP5.13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00159
/GluGluToContinToZTo4tau.TuneCP5.13TeV-mcfm701-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	0.00159
/ZZZ_TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.01398
/ZGToLG_01J_5f.TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnloFXFX-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	55.78
/ZZ_TuneCP5.13TeV-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAODv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	16.52
/WZ_TuneCP5.13TeV-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAODv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	47.13
/WW_TuneCP5.13TeV-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAODv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	116.1
/TTZZ_TuneCP5.13TeV-madgraph-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAODv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.001573
/TTWZ_TuneCP5.13TeV-madgraph-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAODv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.002919
/TTWW_TuneCP5.13TeV-madgraph-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAODv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	0.0115
/WGJetsToLNuG_01J_5f.PDFWeights.TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnloFXFX-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL17NanoAODv9-106X_mc2017_realistic_v9-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	191
/DYJetsToLL_M-10to50.TuneCP5.13TeV-madgraphMLM-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	18610.0
/DYJetsToLL_M-50.TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnloFXFX-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	6025.2
/ST_s-channel_4f_leptonDecays.TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnlo-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	3.68
/ST_t-channel_top_4f_InclusiveDecays.TuneCP5.13TeV-powheg-madspin-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	136.02
/ST_t-channel_antitop_4f_InclusiveDecays.TuneCP5.13TeV-powheg-madspin-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	80.95
/ST_TW_top_5f_inclusiveDecays.TuneCP5.13TeV-powheg-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v2/NANOAOEDSIM	35.85
/ST_tJets.TuneCP5.13TeV-amcatnloFXFX-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	831.76
/WjetsToLNu_TuneCP5.13TeV-madgraphMLM-pythia8/RunIISummer20UL18NanoAODv9-106X_upgrade2018_realistic_v16.L1v1-v1/NANOAOEDSIM	61526.7

Table 10: List of UL18 background samples used in this analysis (CMSSW\_10\_6\_26). In the first section of the table are listed the samples of the processes for which we use the simulation to extract the final yields and shapes, in the second section the samples of the processes we will estimate from data. The “TTJets\*” sample was used to verify the  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  overlap removal.

Selection	Signal region
$N_{\text{leptons}}$	2 tight
Lepton charge	$\ell^{\pm}\ell^{\mp}$
Lepton flavor	e and $\mu$
$\ell_0(\ell_1)p_{\text{T}}$	25 (15) GeV
$m(\ell\ell)$	$> 20$ GeV
$ m(\ell\ell) - m(Z)  \text{ SF}$	$> 15$ GeV
$ m(\ell\ell\gamma) - m(Z)  \text{ SF}$	$> 15$ GeV
$N_{\gamma}$	1 medium
$N_{\text{jets}}$	$\geq 1$
$N_{\text{b-jets}}$ (DEEPJET)	$\geq 1$

Table 11: Signal region event selection

- 122 [4] P. Nason, “A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo  
123 algorithms”, *JHEP* **11** (2004) 040, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040,  
124 arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- 125 [5] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, “Matching NLO QCD computations with parton  
126 shower simulations: the POWHEG method”, *JHEP* **11** (2007) 070,  
127 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070, arXiv:0709.2092.
- 128 [6] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “A general framework for implementing NLO  
129 calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX”, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 043,  
130 doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043, arXiv:1002.2581.

- [7] R. Frederix, E. Re, and P. Torrielli, “Single-top t-channel hadroproduction in the four-flavour scheme with POWHEG and aMC@NLO”, *JHEP* **09** (2012) 130, doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2012)130, arXiv:1207.5391.
- [8] E. Re, “Single-top Wt-channel production matched with parton showers using the POWHEG method”, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C71** (2011) 1547, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1547-z, arXiv:1009.2450.
- [9] T. Melia, P. Nason, R. Rontsch, and G. Zanderighi, “W+W-, WZ and ZZ production in the POWHEG BOX”, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 078, doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2011)078, arXiv:1107.5051.
- [10] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the inclusive and differential  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  cross sections in the dilepton channel and effective field theory interpretation in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV”, *JHEP* **05** (2022) 091, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2022)091, arXiv:2201.07301.
- [11] E. Bols et al., “Jet Flavour Classification Using DeepJet”, *JINST* **15** (2020), no. 12, P12012, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/15/12/P12012, arXiv:2008.10519.
- [12] CMS Collaboration, “Performance summary of AK4 jet b tagging with data from proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV with the CMS detector”, CMS Detector Performance Note CMS-DP-2023-005, 2023.
- [13] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS Statistical Analysis and Combination Tool: COMBINE”, arXiv:2404.06614.
- [14] F. U. Bernlochner, D. C. Fry, S. B. Menary, and E. Persson, “Cover your bases: asymptotic distributions of the profile likelihood ratio when constraining effective field theories in high-energy physics”, *SciPost Phys. Core* **6** (2023) 013, doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysCore.6.1.013, arXiv:2207.01350.